

Product and quotient sets of the finite subsets of rationals and integers.

Yurii Shteinikov

Steklov Mathematical Institute.

Pecs, 2017

Start

Product and
quotient sets of
the finite subsets
of rationals and
integers.

Yurii Shteinikov

1. Q is large positive integer.
2. All logarithms are on base e .

Plan of the talk

1. Product sets of rationals
2. Product and quotient sets of integers

1. Let A, B be the sets rational numbers:

$$A, B \subseteq F_Q = \{r/s, 1 \leq r, s \leq Q\}$$

2. The set AB and is called the product set of A и B , which is defined as

$$AB := \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\},$$

3. I will talk about some results for the lower bound of $|AB|$.

Some history

J. Bourgain, S. Konyagin and I. Shparlinski proved the following Theorem.

THEOREM 1 [2008]

Let $A, B \subseteq F_Q$, then we have the following estimate

$$|AB| \geq |A||B| \exp\left\{(-9 + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\sqrt{\log \log Q}}\right\}, Q \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1)$$

J. Cilleruelo obtained a slightly better result using a different method.

THEOREM 2 [2016]

Let $A, B \subseteq F_Q$, then we have the following estimate

$$|AB| \geq |A||B| \exp\left\{(-4\sqrt{\log 2} + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\sqrt{\log \log Q}}\right\}, Q \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

Some history

J. Bourgain, S. Konyagin and I. Shparlinski proved the following Theorem.

THEOREM 1 [2008]

Let $A, B \subseteq F_Q$, then we have the following estimate

$$|AB| \geq |A||B| \exp\left\{(-9 + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\sqrt{\log \log Q}}\right\}, Q \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1)$$

J. Cilleruelo obtained a slightly better result using a different method.

THEOREM 2 [2016]

Let $A, B \subseteq F_Q$, then we have the following estimate

$$|AB| \geq |A||B| \exp\left\{(-4\sqrt{\log 2} + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\sqrt{\log \log Q}}\right\}, Q \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

Applications

1. Distribution of elements of cosets of multiplicative subgroups
2. Fixed points of discrete logarithm

Suppose that $A, B \in [1, Q]$

It is easy to see that

$$|AB| \geq |A||B| \exp\left\{(-2\log 2 + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\log \log Q}\right\}, Q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof:

1. The number $r_{A,B}(n)$ of pairs (a, b) such that $n = ab$ is less or equal to $\tau(n)$.
2. $n \leq Q^2$ and we are using well-known upper bound for $\tau(n)$,

$$\tau(n) < \exp\left\{(\log 2 + o(1)) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right\}, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose $A, B \in F_Q$. Then the proof does not work, – the problem is in the first step.

Applications

1. Distribution of elements of cosets of multiplicative subgroups
2. Fixed points of discrete logarithm

Suppose that $A, B \in [1, Q]$

It is easy to see that

$$|AB| \geq |A||B| \exp\left\{(-2\log 2 + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\log \log Q}\right\}, Q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof:

1. The number $r_{A,B}(n)$ of pairs (a, b) such that $n = ab$ is less or equal to $\tau(n)$.
2. $n \leq Q^2$ and we are using well-known upper bound for $\tau(n)$,

$$\tau(n) < \exp\left\{(\log 2 + o(1)) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right\}, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose $A, B \in F_Q$. Then the proof does not work, – the problem is in the first step.

Applications

1. Distribution of elements of cosets of multiplicative subgroups
2. Fixed points of discrete logarithm

Suppose that $A, B \in [1, Q]$

It is easy to see that

$$|AB| \geq |A||B| \exp\left\{(-2\log 2 + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\log \log Q}\right\}, Q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof:

1. The number $r_{A,B}(n)$ of pairs (a, b) such that $n = ab$ is less or equal to $\tau(n)$.
2. $n \leq Q^2$ and we are using well-known upper bound for $\tau(n)$,

$$\tau(n) < \exp\left\{(\log 2 + o(1)) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right\}, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose $A, B \in F_Q$. Then the proof does not work, – the problem is in the first step.

THEOREM 1 [Y.S.] There is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that if $A, B \subseteq F_Q$, then we have the following estimate

$$|AB| \geq |A||B| \exp\left\{(-C + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\log \log Q}\right\}, Q \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

The constant C can be taken $8 \log 2$. In the case $A = B$ one can take $C = 6 \log 2$ and C can not be taken smaller than $4 \log 2$.

Elements of the proof

Consider the case $A = B$.

Proof

1. Let $\nu = \{\nu_p\}$, $p \leq Q$ be vector where each coordinate is $+1$ or -1 .
2. Define the set $A_\nu \subseteq A$ as is written below

$$A_\nu = \left\{ a \in A : \forall p \begin{cases} \nu(p) = 1 \Rightarrow v_p(a) \geq 0; \\ \nu(p) = -1 \Rightarrow v_p(a) \leq 0. \end{cases} \right\}$$

3. Consider random set A_ν , where vector $\nu = \{\nu(p)_{p \leq Q}\}$ is a random variable (vector), where each coordinate $\nu(p)$ is ± 1 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\nu(p)$ are independent for different p .
4. It is easy to estimate mean value (expectation) of $|A_\nu|$.
5. if r/s and $r'/s' \in A_\nu$, then $\gcd(r, s') = \gcd(r', s) = 1$ and the result easily follows.

The result about the energy of the sets A, B

The multiplicative energy $E(A, B)$ of two sets A, B is

$$E(A, B) = |\{a_1 b_1 = a_2 b_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A; b_1, b_2 \in B\}|.$$

It is easy to show that $|AB| \geq \frac{|A|^2|B|^2}{E(A, B)}$.

We note that using good estimates of $E(A, B)$ one can deduce non-trivial estimates of the size of AB but not vice versa.

THEOREM [Y.S.] *There is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that if $A, B \subseteq F_Q$ then we have*

$$E(A, B) \leq |A||B| \exp\left\{ (C + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\log \log Q} \right\}, \quad Q \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4)$$

and C can be taken $8 \log 2$.

This Theorem generalize the previous result.

The result about the energy of the sets A, B

The multiplicative energy $E(A, B)$ of two sets A, B is

$$E(A, B) = |\{a_1 b_1 = a_2 b_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A; b_1, b_2 \in B\}|.$$

It is easy to show that $|AB| \geq \frac{|A|^2|B|^2}{E(A, B)}$.

We note that using good estimates of $E(A, B)$ one can deduce non-trivial estimates of the size of AB but not vice versa.

THEOREM [Y.S.] *There is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that if $A, B \subseteq F_Q$ then we have*

$$E(A, B) \leq |A||B| \exp\left\{ (C + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\log \log Q} \right\}, \quad Q \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4)$$

and C can be taken $8 \log 2$.

This Theorem generalize the previous result.

The result about the energy of the sets A, B

The multiplicative energy $E(A, B)$ of two sets A, B is

$$E(A, B) = |\{a_1 b_1 = a_2 b_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A; b_1, b_2 \in B\}|.$$

It is easy to show that $|AB| \geq \frac{|A|^2|B|^2}{E(A, B)}$.

We note that using good estimates of $E(A, B)$ one can deduce non-trivial estimates of the size of AB but not vice versa.

THEOREM [Y.S.] *There is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that if $A, B \subseteq F_Q$ then we have*

$$E(A, B) \leq |A||B| \exp\left\{ (C + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\log \log Q} \right\}, \quad Q \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4)$$

and C can be taken $8 \log 2$.

This Theorem generalize the previous result.

The result about the energy of the sets A, B

The multiplicative energy $E(A, B)$ of two sets A, B is

$$E(A, B) = |\{a_1 b_1 = a_2 b_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A; b_1, b_2 \in B\}|.$$

It is easy to show that $|AB| \geq \frac{|A|^2|B|^2}{E(A, B)}$.

We note that using good estimates of $E(A, B)$ one can deduce non-trivial estimates of the size of AB but not vice versa.

THEOREM [Y.S.] *There is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that if $A, B \subseteq F_Q$ then we have*

$$E(A, B) \leq |A||B| \exp\left\{ (C + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\log \log Q} \right\}, \quad Q \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4)$$

and C can be taken $8 \log 2$.

This Theorem generalize the previous result.

Quotient sets of integers

One can easily obtain the following proposition

If $A, B \subseteq [1, Q]$ then we have the following estimate

$$|AB|, |A/B| \geq |A||B| \exp\left\{(-2 \log 2 + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\log \log Q}\right\}, Q \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

For the case $|A/B|$ this estimate cannot be improved very much in general except for the constant $-2 \log 2$. But still the following Theorem takes place.

Theorem

There is an absolute constant $c > 0$, such that if $A, B \subseteq [1, Q]$ then we have the following estimate

$$|A/B| \geq |A||B| \exp\left\{(-2 \log 2 + c + o(1)) \frac{\log Q}{\log \log Q}\right\}, Q \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

One can take $c = 0.1$

Questions

Product and
quotient sets of
the finite subsets
of rationals and
integers.

Yurii Shteinikov

1) Is it possible to improve the coefficients $6 \log 2$ and $8 \log 2$ in the Theorem concerning product sets of rationals?

References

Bourgain J., Konyagin S.V., Shparlinski I.E. Product sets of rationals, multiplicative translates of subgroups in residue rings and fixed points of the discrete logarithm // Int. Math Research Notices. 2008. rnn 090, P. 1–29.

Cilleruelo J., Garaev M. Congruences involving product of intervals and sets with small multiplicative doubling modulo a prime and applications // Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., Vol. 160, Issue 03, pp 477-494, May 2016.

Cilleruelo J. A note on product sets of rationals // International Journal of Number Theory, Vol. 12, No. 05, pp. 1415-1420 (2016)

Yu. Sh., Product sets of rational numbers // Proceedings of Steklov Institute of Mathematics, Vol 296, 2017.

Cilleruelo J., Ramana D. S., Ramare O. Quotients and product sets of thin subsets of the positive integers. // Proceedings of Steklov Institute of Mathematics, Vol 296, 2017.

Thank you for your attention